

ScienceDirect<sup>®</sup>

Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology Volume 7, Issue 4, April 2009, Pages 481-486

Original article-liver, pancreas, and biliary tract

# Effects of Liver Biopsy Sample Length and Number of Readings on Sampling Variability in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

Raj Vuppalanchi \*, Aynur Ünalp<sup>‡</sup>, Mark L. Van Natta<sup>‡</sup>, Oscar W. Cummings \*, Kumar E. Sandrasegaran \*, Tariq Hameed \*, James Tonascia<sup>‡</sup>, Naga Chalasani \* ♀ ⊠



## Background & Aims

Liver biopsy is required to diagnose nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in patients with suspected non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD); recent studies suggested significant sampling variability. Using percutaneous liver biopsy samples from patients with suspected NAFLD, we examined the relationship between histological yield and length of biopsies, number of cores and number of independent readings.

### Methods

Three cores of liver tissue were collected, by percutaneous liver biopsy, from each of 50 patients suspected to have NAFLD. The diagnostic yield (percent with definite NASH) and other histological findings from 2 independent, blinded examinations of 2 cores and from all 3 cores combined were assessed.

### Results

Steatosis, lobular inflammation and fibrosis scores were significantly higher when 3 samples were analyzed, compared with 2. However, between groups, there were no significant differences in hepatocyte ballooning, proportion with an NAFLD activity score  $\geq$ 4 or proportion with definite NASH (57% vs 61%, *P* = .3). The length of the biopsy sample correlated with percentage of patients found to have definite NASH (29%, 46%, 56%, and 65% in biopsies measuring <10 mm, 10–14 mm, 15–24 mm, and  $\geq$ 25 mm, respectively; *P* < .0001). When biopsy specimens were read twice by the same pathologist, the composite of the 2 independent readings yielded a significantly higher yield for several histological features, compared with the first reading.

#### Conclusions

There is a significant relationship between histological yield and sample length and number of independent readings of liver biopsy samples. More studies are needed to optimize the strategy for liver biopsy, to more effectively assess histology in patients with suspected NAFLD.



Next

#### Abbreviations used in this paper

NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NAS, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease activity score; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

**Recommended articles** 

Cited by (146)

Stellate cell expression of SPARC-related modular calcium-binding protein 2 is associated with human non-alcoholic fatty liver disease severity 2023, JHEP Reports

Show abstract  $\checkmark$ 

Fatty Liver Disease 2023, MacSween's Pathology of the Liver, Eighth Edition

Show abstract  $\checkmark$ 

The Placebo Response in Randomized Trials in Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Simply Explained 2022, Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Show abstract  $\checkmark$ 

Noninvasive assessment of liver steatosis with ultrasound techniques 2022, Multiparametric Ultrasound for the Assessment of Diffuse Liver Disease: A Practical Approach

Show abstract  $\checkmark$ 

Quantification of liver and muscular fat using contrast-enhanced Dual Source Dual Energy Computed Tomography compared to an established multi-echo Dixon MRI sequence 2021, European Journal of Radiology

Show abstract  $\checkmark$ 

# Circulating extracellular vesicles are a biomarker for NAFLD resolution and response to weight loss surgery

2021, Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine

Show abstract  $\checkmark$ 

7

View all citing articles on Scopus

9/11/23, 12:24 PM Effects of Liver Biopsy Sample Length and Number of Readings on Sampling Variability in Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease - Sci...

Conflicts of Interest The authors disclose no conflicts.

Funding Supported in part by National Institutes of Health grant K24 DK69290 (N.C.).

View full text

Copyright © 2009 AGA Institute. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Copyright © 2023 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors. ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V. *R***ELX**<sup>™</sup>